†ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಕಂಠಿ.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಹೇಳುವುದು ಸರಿಯಲ್ಲ. ಆ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳು ಆ ವರ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗಿದ್ದ ನಿರ್ದಿಷ್ಟ ಕೆಲಸವನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಕ್ಕೋಸ್ಕರ ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರು ತೇರ್ಗಡೆ ಹೊಂದಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಆ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಯ ಫಲತಾಂಶವನ್ನು ತಡೆಹಿಡಿಯಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಆ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಶಾಲೆಗಳಿಂದ ಇಯರ್ಸ್ ವರ್ಕ್ ಸರ್ಟಿಫಿಕೇಟ್ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ವರದಿ ಬಂದು ಮೂರು ತಿಂಗಳಾದಮೇಲೆ ಆ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಯ ಫಲತಾಂಶವನ್ನು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ಎಸ್. ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀಕಾಂತಪ್ಪ.—ಆ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಗೆ ಕುಳಿತುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಂಚೆ ಇಯರ್ಸ್ ವರ್ಕ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಪಾಸಾದ ಹೊರತು ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಗೆ ಕುಳಿತುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶವಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಸ್ಪೆಸಿಫಿಕ್ ಆಗಿ ಸಿಲಬಸ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಡೈರೆಕ್ಷನ್ ಇಲ್ಲ, ಅಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಕಂಠಿ.—ಹಾಗಿಲ್ಲ.

2-00 P.M.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ಎಸ್. ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀಕಾಂತಪ್ಪ.—ಮಾರ್ಚ್ ತಿಂಗಳಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಯಾಗಿದ್ದರೂ ಕೂಡ ಇದರ ಫಲತಾಂಶ ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆಯನ್ನು 31-10-1965 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕಟಮಾಡಿದರು. ಇಷ್ಟು ತಡವಾಗಿ ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಇದ್ದಂತಹ ಕಾರಣಗಳಾದರೂ ಏನು?

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—Usually what happens is that the result is announced after 2 months of the examination. But unfortunately in this case it was announced on 31st October, 1965. The delay was due to the fact that the Principal of the Training College delayed in sending the completion report.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ಎಸ್. ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀಕಾಂತಪ್ಪ.—ಇಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆದಂತಹ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಗಳ ಬಗೆಗೆ ಸರಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಏನಾದರೂ ದೂರುಗಳು ಬಂದಿದ್ದವೇ?

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—I have not received any complaints in this respect, but the D.P.I seems to have received some complaints and that is being enquired into. There is an Agricultural Inspector in that college who has been responsible to some extent for this. The D.P.I. is making enquiries against him.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Question Hour is over.

QUESTION FOR ANSWERS ON THE DAY

(but not taken up)

Development of Railways in the State.

*Q.—87 Sri B. L. GOWDA (Challakere)—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether the State Government have submitted any plans to the Centre for development of Railways in Mysore State in the Fourth Five-Year Plan;

(*b*) if so, what are the routes proposed;

(*c*) whether any preliminary survey has been ordered?

A.—Sri VEERENDRA PATIL (Minister for Public Works).—

(*a*) A comprehensive reference has been made to the Ministry of Railways, Central Government.

(*b*) (i) Broad-gauging the line between Hospet and Hubli and Hubli and Goa;

(ii) A broad-gauge line to connect Karwar Port with Poona–Bangalore line at Hubli or near about;

(iii) Kottur-Harihar line.

(iv) A new line from Harihar to connect Hassan-Mangalore line near Moodabidari or near about.

(v) A new line from Talguppa to Karwar *via* Sirsi.

(vi) A new line from Gadag to Wadi;

(vii) Chamarajanagara—Sathyamangalam Railway line.

(viii) Conversion of the Guntakal-Bangalore M. G. line to B. G.

(*c*) No survey work has been ordered except in respect of Hospet–Goa Section and Sathyamangalam-Chamarajanagar Railway. In case of Hubli-Karwar Railway a traffic appreciation was made but not a regular traffic survey.

CLARIFICATION BY SRI P. F. D' MELLOW IN THE MYSORE SECONDARY EDUCATION EXAMINATION BOARD BILL, 1965.

MR. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble member Mr P.F. D'Mellow wanted to make a personal statement with regard to certain things that transpired in the course of the Mysore Secondary Education Examination Board Bill.

He may do so.

MAJOR P. F. D. MELLOW (Nominated).—Mr. Speaker, Sir, in the course of his reply to the debate on the Mysore Secondary Educational Examination Board Bill, last Thursday, when dealing with some of the points I had made, the Hon'ble Education Minister appeared to have expressed himself in a manner which suggested that he misunderstood me, in particular the purport of the observation concerning trends in the fields of education throughout the Country, and which have been described as tending to assume 'regional and parochial' patterns.

I am deeply distressed that this should be so, for if there is any one whom I have had a great deal of understanding and sympathy in, my work on behalf of education, it is the Hon'ble Minister Sri Kanthi.

Allow me, Sir, to state that I claim no originality for the observation, nor am I its author. It will be found that far more competent observers than I, when dealing with falling standards, in their memoranda to the education Commission have made precisely the same observation, without this occasioning any offence, previously.